

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
CIVIL CASE INFORMATION STATEMENT (CIS)**

CAPTION Laredo National Bancshares, Inc., The Laredo National Bank & Gary G. Jacobs vs. Donald E. Schulz and John Does 1 through 10	CASE NO. <div style="text-align: right; font-size: small;">FILED 2008 13:25 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO CLEVELAND</div> <div style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold; text-align: center;">1:00 CV 2081</div>												
Consent to the Jurisdiction of a Magistrate Judge YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If YES, have You Filled Out the Appropriate Form? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	JUDGE: <div style="font-size: 1.5em; font-weight: bold; text-align: center;">JUDGE WELLS</div> MAGISTRATE JUDGE: <div style="font-weight: bold; text-align: center;">MAG. JUDGE VECCHIARELLI</div>												
TRACK ASSIGNMENT REQUESTED Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Expedited <input type="checkbox"/> Standard <input type="checkbox"/> Complex <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mass Torts <input type="checkbox"/>													
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION - IS THIS CASE SUITABLE FOR DISPOSITION BY ADR? IF SO, BY WHICH ADR PROCESS(ES): <table style="width:100%; text-align: center;"> <tr> <td>Early Neutral Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Mediation <input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Summary Jury Trial <input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Summary Bench Trial <input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Other <input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>See LR 16.5(a)</td> <td>See LR 16.6(a)</td> <td>See LR 16.7(a)</td> <td>See LR 16.8(a)</td> <td>See LR 16.9(a)</td> <td>See LR 16.10</td> </tr> </table>		Early Neutral Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/>	Mediation <input type="checkbox"/>	Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/>	Summary Jury Trial <input type="checkbox"/>	Summary Bench Trial <input type="checkbox"/>	Other <input type="checkbox"/>	See LR 16.5(a)	See LR 16.6(a)	See LR 16.7(a)	See LR 16.8(a)	See LR 16.9(a)	See LR 16.10
Early Neutral Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/>	Mediation <input type="checkbox"/>	Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/>	Summary Jury Trial <input type="checkbox"/>	Summary Bench Trial <input type="checkbox"/>	Other <input type="checkbox"/>								
See LR 16.5(a)	See LR 16.6(a)	See LR 16.7(a)	See LR 16.8(a)	See LR 16.9(a)	See LR 16.10								
Is this case suitable for electronic filing? (See guidelines on reverse side.) ____ Yes ____ No, if no, why not _____													
Briefly describe the case; include any special characteristics that may warrant extended discovery or accelerated disposition. If complex or expedited track assignment is requested, explain why. (Use Separate Sheet if Additional Space is Required): This case arises from the unlawful actions of the defendants to infiltrate a government agency, and unlawfully obtain and disseminate documents from that agency causing widespread media reports falsely accusing plaintiffs of illegal activity. Also see attached.													
RELATED CASE? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CASE NO. _____ JUDGE _____													
ATTORNEY NAME AND BAR I.D. NUMBER Patrick M. McLaughlin 0008190	TELEPHONE NUMBER (216) 623-0-00												
FIRM NAME AND ADDRESS McLaughlin & McCaffrey, LLP Ohio Savings Plaza, Suite 740 1801 East Ninth Street Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3198	PARTY NAME - DOCUMENT TYPE Laredo National Bancshares, Inc., The Laredo National Bank and Gary G. Jacobs												

The information provided on the CIS statement will be used for administrative purposes only LR 3.13(b)

*Laredo National Bancshares, Inc.,
The Laredo National Bank, and Gary G. Jacobs
vs.
Donald E. Schulz and John Does 1 through 10*

Attachment to Civil Case Information Statement (CIS)

Continuation of briefly describe the case: include any special characteristics that may warrant extended discovery or accelerated disposition. If complex or expedited track assignment is requested explain why. (Use Separate Sheet if Additional Space is Required).

Plaintiffs believe this is a complex case because the legal issues presented are numerous, complicated and unique. The factual circumstances underlying this case are long, involved and exceedingly complicated. Extensive discovery will be required to ascertain further information as to the defendants' unlawful acts and schemes, and to identify the numerous John Does who are believed to have participated in the unlawful enterprise. There will be a significant number of witnesses, more than ten individuals. In addition, significant expert testimony will be required. Due to the nature of the claims, it is unlikely that this case will be suitable for ADR. The character and nature of the damages relate to the harm caused to the plaintiffs' reputations and goodwill, which will require expert testimony in order to quantify.